

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,238	12/15/2003	Benjamin Englander	P/1123-67	6371
2352	7590 08/10/2005		EXAM	IINER
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN			SHAFER, RICKY D	
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403		5	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2872	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/737,238	ENGLANDER				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Ricky D. Shafer	2872				
The MAILING	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c					
Period for Reply			•				
THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from  - If the period for reply specif  - If NO period for reply is specif  - Failure to reply within the si	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 in the mailing date of this communication. ied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply icified above, the maximum statutory period wet or extended period for reply will, by statute, office later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH( 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day sill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 May 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is F	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3)☐ Since this appli	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accor	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the abov	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> a	are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specificatio	n is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) The drawing(s)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or dec	laration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)		<b></b>					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cit</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's</li> </ol>	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/737,238

Art Unit: 2872

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A). The species depicted by figures 1 and 2;
- B). The species depicted by figures 3 and 4; and
- C). The species depicted by figures 7 and 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is generic.

In addition, this application further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1). The wheel well mounting depicted by Fig. 5; and
- 2). The wheel well mounting depicted by Fig. 6.

Applicant is additionally required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed wheel well mounting consistent with the elected (mirror assembly) species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 2872

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RDS

August 08, 2005